

# Perturbed Evolution Equations Governed by $t$ - $\omega$ -Dissipative Operators

Hiroshi HAGIWARA

(Department of Applied Mathematics)

## 1. Introduction.

In this paper we consider the perturbed evolution equation

$$(CP : x_0) \begin{cases} (d/dt) u(t) \in A(t)u(t) + f(t, u(t)) & \text{for } t \in (0, T), \\ u(0) = x_0 \end{cases}$$

where the operators  $A(t)$  are  $t$ - $\omega$ -dissipative in a Banach space  $X$ , from the viewpoint of the difference approximation.

Crandall and Liggett [1], Kenmochi and Oharu [5], Kobayashi [6], and others studied homogeneous and time-independent equations ( $A(t) = A, f(t, u) = 0$ ), Crandall and Pazy [2] studied homogeneous equations ( $f(t, u) = 0$ ), Evans [3] studied equations where  $A(t)$  are  $m$ -dissipative and  $f(t, u) = f(t)$ , and Pavel [7] and Iwamiya, Oharu and Takahashi [4] studied equations where  $f(t, u) = 0$  or  $f(t, u) = f(t)$ .

We will prove the existence of a mild solution of the perturbed evolution equation (CP :  $x_0$ ) for  $t$ - $\omega$ -dissipative operators  $A(t)$ .

## 2. Preliminaries.

Let  $X$  and  $X^*$  be a real Banach space and its dual space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . By an operator  $A$  in  $X$  we mean a mapping  $X$  into  $2^X$  with domain  $D(A) = \{x \in X; Ax \neq \emptyset\}$  and range  $R(A) = \cup \{Ax; x \in D(A)\}$ . As usually, we identify the operator  $A$  with its graph, so that we write  $[x, y] \in A$  if  $y \in Ax$ . For each  $x \in D(A)$ , we write  $|Ax| = \inf \{\|y\|; y \in Ax\}$ . We denote by  $\langle x, f \rangle$  the natural pairing between  $x \in X$  and  $f \in X^*$ , and by  $F$  the duality mapping from  $X$  into  $X^*$ , i. e.,

$$(2.1) \quad F(x) = \{f \in X^*; \|f\|^2 = \|x\|^2 = \langle x, f \rangle\} \text{ for } x \in X.$$

For  $x, y \in X$ , we define

$$(2.2) \quad \langle y, x \rangle_i = \inf \{ \langle y, f \rangle; f \in F(x) \}.$$

It is known that there exists  $x^* \in F(x)$  such that  $\langle y, x^* \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle_i$ .

Let  $\omega$  be a real number. An operator  $A$  in  $X$  is said to be  $\omega$ -dissipative if for any  $[x_i, y_i] \in A (i = 1, 2)$ ,

$$\langle y_1 - y_2, x_1 - x_2 \rangle_i \leq \omega \|x_1 - x_2\|^2.$$

A 0-dissipative operator is simply called dissipative. Apparently  $A$  is  $\omega$ -dissipative if and only if  $A - \omega I$  is dissipative.

A dissipative operator  $A$  is called  $m$ -dissipative if  $R(I - \lambda A) = X$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ . It is known that then  $R(I - \lambda A) = X$  for any  $\lambda > 0$ .

Now following Pavel [7], we introduce the following notion.

DEFINITION 2.1. A family of operators  $\{A(t), t \in [0, T]\}$  in  $X$  is said to be  $t$ - $\omega$ -dissipative if there exists a function  $L : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , bounded on bounded set, and a real number  $\omega$  such that

$$(A1) \quad \langle y_1 - y_2, x_1 - x_2 \rangle_i \leq \omega \|x_1 - x_2\|^2 + (t_2 - t_1) \|x_1 - x_2\| L(\|x_1\|)$$

for each  $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq T$  and  $[x_i, y_i] \in A(t_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).

In following we set  $D(t) = D(A(t))$  for  $t \in [0, T]$ .

And

(A2) The multivalued mapping  $t \rightarrow D(t)$  from  $R_+$  to  $2^X$  is said to be closed if : the conditions  $t_n \rightarrow t, x_n \in D(t_n)$  with  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , imply  $x \in \overline{D(t)}$ .

Then we have

LEMMA 2.2. (see Pavel [7]) If a family of operators  $\{A(t), t \in [0, T]\}$  in  $X$  is  $t$ - $\omega$ -dissipative then we have

$$(1 - \lambda \omega) \|x - u\| \leq \|x - u - \lambda y\| + \lambda \|A(s)u\| + (t - s) L(\|u\|)$$

for any  $[x, y] \in A(t), u \in D(s), 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$  and  $\lambda > 0$ .

### 3. Approximate solutions.

In this section we study the convergence of difference approximation of the Cauchy problem for the perturbed evolution equation (CP :  $x_0$ ).

Throughout this paper, we set following hypothesis on  $f(t, x)$ , perturbed term of (CP :  $x_0$ )

$f(t, x)$  is a function :  $[0, T] \times X \rightarrow X$ , satisfying :

(B) there exists a constant  $M (> 0)$  such that

$$\|f(t, x) - f(s, y)\| \leq M(|t - s| + \|x - y\|)$$

for  $s, t \in [0, T]$  and  $x, y \in X$ .

Now we consider (CP :  $x_0$ ) on finite interval  $[0, T]$ .

Let  $\{u_n(t)\}$  be a sequence of  $X$ -valued simple function on  $[0, T]$  defined by

$$(3.1) \quad u_n(t) = \begin{cases} x_0^n & \text{for } t = 0 \\ x_i^n & \text{for } t \in [t^{n_{i-1}}, t_i^n] \cap [0, T] \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N_n \end{cases}$$

and  $n \geq 1$  where  $\{t_i^n\}$  represents the partition  $\mathcal{A}_n = \{0 = t_0^n < t_1^n < \dots < t_{N_n-1}^n < T \leq t_{N_n}^n\}$  of the interval  $[0, T]$  satisfying the condition

$$(3.2) \quad |\mathcal{A}_n| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N_n} (t_i^n - t_{i-1}^n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

DEFINITION 3.1. (Kobayashi [6]) Let  $x_0 \in X$ . We say that the sequence  $\{u_n(t)\}$  is a DS-approximate solution of (CP :  $x_0$ ) if it satisfies

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} (x_i^n - x_{i-1}^n) / (t_i^n - t_{i-1}^n) - p_i^n \in A(t_i^n) x_i^n + f(t_{i-1}^n, x_{i-1}^n) \\ i = 1, 2, \dots, N_n, n \geq 1, \quad x_0^n \rightarrow x_0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad b_n = \sum_{i=1}^{N_n} \|p_i^n\| (t_i^n - t_{i-1}^n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The following is the main result of this paper.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $T > 0$  and  $x_0 \in \overline{D(0)}$ . Assume (A1), (A2) and (B).*

*Then following properties hold :*

- (1) *There exists a continuous function  $u(t) : [0, T] \rightarrow X$ , such that any sequence  $\{u_n(t)\}$  of DS-approximate solution of (CP :  $x_0$ ) is convergent to  $u(t)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , uniformly on  $[0, T]$ .*
- (2)  *$u(t) \in \overline{D(t)}$  for  $t \in [0, T]$ .*

We say  $u(t)$  in Theorem 3.2 a mild solution of (CP :  $x_0$ ) on  $[0, T]$ .

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 3.2.

The proof of Theorem 3.2 is based on the following Lemmas.

We set  $\omega_0 = \max(0, \omega)$  and, for simplicity, write  $h_i = t_i^n - t_{i-1}^n$ ,  $x_i = x_i^n$ ,  $t_i = t_i^n$ ,  $N = N_n$  etc.

Suppose that assumptions in Theorem 3.2 are satisfied.

**LEMMA 4.1.** *Let  $u \in D(0)$ , then there exists a constant  $K_1 = K_1(x_0, u) > 0$  such that  $\|x_i\| \leq K_1$  for all  $i$  and  $n$  where  $\omega_0 | \Delta | \leq 1/2$ .*

**PROOF.** By Lemma 2.2,

$$(1 - \omega_0 h_i) \|x_i - u\| \leq \|x_i - u - h_i y_i\| + h_i |A(0)u| + h_i t_i L(\|u\|)$$

for any  $[x_i, y_i] \in A(t_i)$ .

From Definition 3.1 there exists  $y_i \in A(t_i)x_i$ , such that

$$x_i - h_i y_i = x_{i-1} + h_i p_i + h_i f(t_{i-1}, x_{i-1}),$$

so

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} (1 - \omega_0 h_i) \|x_i - u\| &\leq \|x_{i-1} - u\| + h_i [\|p_i\| + \|f(t_{i-1}, x_{i-1})\| \\ &\quad + |A(0)u| + t_i L(\|u\|)] \\ &\leq (1 + Mh_i) \|x_{i-1} - u\| + h_i [\|p_i\| + Mt_{i-1} + L(\|u\|)t_i] \\ &\quad + h_i [\|f(0, u)\| + |A(0)u|] \end{aligned}$$

where we used  $\|f(t_{i-1}, x_{i-1})\| \leq \|f(t_{i-1}, x_{i-1}) - f(0, u)\| + \|f(0, u)\|$   
 $\leq M(t_{i-1} + \|x_{i-1} - u\|) + \|f(0, u)\|$ .

Inductively (4.1) implies

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|x_i - u\| &\leq \left[ \prod_{k=1}^i (1 - \omega_0 h_k) (1 + Mh_k) \right] [\|x_0^n - u\| + \sum_{k=1}^i h_k \|p_k\| + \sum_{k=1}^i h_k t_{k-1} M \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^i h_k t_k L(\|u\|) + t_i (\|f(0, u)\| + |A(0)u|)] \end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality

$$(1-t)^{-1} \leq \exp(2t) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1/2] \quad \text{and } 1+t \leq \exp(t),$$

we have

$$(4.3) \quad \|x_i - u\| \leq \exp((2\omega_0 + M)T) [\|x_0^n - u\| + b_n + (M + L(\|u\|))T^2 + (\|f(0, u)\| + |A(0)u|)T]$$

Since  $\|x_0^n - u\| \rightarrow \|x_0 - u\|$  and  $b_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  by Definition 3.1, then there exists a constant  $K_1 = K_1(u, x_0) > 0$  such that

$$(4.4) \quad \|x_i\| \leq K_1 \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots \text{ and } i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Let  $\{\hat{u}_m\}$  be another DS-approximate solution of (CP :  $x_0$ ). We use the methode of Kobayashi [6].

LEMMA 4.2. Let  $a_{i,j} = \|x_i - \hat{x}_j\|$ . Then,

$$(4.5) \quad (h_i + \hat{h}_j) a_{i,j} \leq \exp(2(M + \omega_0) \min(h_i, \hat{h}_j)) [\hat{h}_j \exp(Mh_i) a_{i-1,j} + h_i \exp(M\hat{h}_j) a_{i,j-1} + h_i \hat{h}_j (\|p_i\| + \|\hat{p}_j\| + M|t_{i-1} - \hat{t}_{j-1}| + K|t_i - \hat{t}_j|)]$$

for  $0 \leq i \leq N$  and  $0 \leq j \leq \hat{N}$  with  $(M + \omega_0)|\Delta|, (M + \omega_0)|\hat{\Delta}| \leq 1/2$ .

Here  $K = \max\{L(\|x_i\|), L(\|\hat{x}_j\|) : 0 \leq i \leq N, 0 \leq j \leq \hat{N}, n, m \geq 1\}$  and the notations with symbol " $\hat{\cdot}$ " correspond to the solution  $\hat{u}_m(t)$ .

PROOF. By Definition 3.1, there exists  $y_i \in A(t_i)x_i$  and  $\hat{y}_j \in A(\hat{t}_j)\hat{x}_j$

such that  $x_i - h_i y_i = x_{i-1} + h_i(p_i + f(t_{i-1}, x_{i-1}))$ ,

$$\hat{x}_j - \hat{h}_j \hat{y}_j = \hat{x}_{j-1} + \hat{h}_j(\hat{p}_j + f(\hat{t}_{j-1}, \hat{x}_{j-1})),$$

and there exists  $x^* \in F(x_i - \hat{x}_j)$  such that

$$\langle y_i - \hat{y}_j, x^* \rangle = \langle y_i - \hat{y}_j, x_i - \hat{x}_j \rangle.$$

Then

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} (h_i + \hat{h}_j) a_{i,j} &= \hat{h}_j \langle x_i - \hat{x}_j, x^* \rangle + h_i \langle \hat{x}_j - x_i, -x^* \rangle \\ &= \hat{h}_j \langle x_i - h_i y_i - \hat{x}_j, x^* \rangle + h_i \langle \hat{x}_j - \hat{h}_j \hat{y}_j - x_i, -x^* \rangle \\ &\quad + h_i \hat{h}_j \langle y_i - \hat{y}_j, x^* \rangle \\ &= \hat{h}_j \langle x_{i-1} - \hat{x}_j, x^* \rangle + h_i \langle \hat{x}_{j-1} - x_i, -x^* \rangle \\ &\quad + h_i \hat{h}_j [\langle y_i - \hat{y}_j, x^* \rangle + \langle p_i - \hat{p}_j, x^* \rangle + \langle f(t_{i-1}, x_{i-1}) - f(\hat{t}_{j-1}, \hat{x}_{j-1}), x^* \rangle]. \end{aligned}$$

By (A1), (B) and Lemma 4.1, we have

$$(4.7) \quad (h_i + \hat{h}_j) a_{i,j} \leq \hat{h}_j a_{i-1,j} + h_i a_{i,j-1} + h_i \hat{h}_j [\omega_0 a_{i,j} + K|t_i - \hat{t}_j| + \|\hat{p}_j\| + \|\hat{p}_j\| + M(|t_{i-1} - \hat{t}_{j-1}| + a_{i-1,j-1})].$$

Here  $a_{i-1,j-1} \leq a_{i-1,j} + a_{i,j-1} + a_{i,j}$ , then (4.7) implies

$$(4.8) \quad (h_i + \hat{h}_j) (1 - (M + \omega_0) h_i \hat{h}_j / (h_i + \hat{h}_j)) a_{i,j} \leq \hat{h}_j (1 + M h_i) a_{i-1,j} + h_i (1 + M \hat{h}_j) a_{i,j-1} + h_i \hat{h}_j [\|p_i\| + \|\hat{p}_j\| + M|t_{i-1} - \hat{t}_{j-1}| + K|t_i - \hat{t}_j|].$$

Noted  $1 + M h_i \leq \exp(M h_i)$ ,  $1 + M \hat{h}_j \leq \exp(M \hat{h}_j)$  and

$$[1 - (M + \omega_0) h_i \hat{h}_j / (h_i + \hat{h}_j)]^{-1} \leq \exp(2(M + \omega_0) \min(h_i, \hat{h}_j)) \text{ for } (M + \omega_0)|\Delta| \leq 1/2, (M + \omega_0)|\hat{\Delta}| \leq 1/2, \text{ Lemma 4.2 is proved.}$$

LEMMA 4.3. Let  $u \in D(0)$ . Then

$$(4.9) \quad a_{i,j} \leq \exp(3M_1(t_i + \hat{t}_j)) [\|x_0^n - u\| + \|\hat{x}_0^m - u\| + \{(t_i - \hat{t}_j)^2 + (t_i + \hat{t}_j) \\ \times (|\mathcal{A}| + |\hat{\mathcal{A}}|)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} (K_3 + 2Mt_i + 2K\hat{t}_j) + \sum_{k=1}^i h_k \|p_k\| + \sum_{k=1}^j \hat{h}_k \|\hat{p}_k\|] \\ \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq N \text{ and } 0 \leq j \leq \hat{N} \text{ with } N|\mathcal{A}|, \hat{N}|\hat{\mathcal{A}}| \leq 1/2$$

where  $M_1 = M + \omega_0$  and  $K_3 = \|f(0, u)\| + |A(0)u| + (M + L(\|u\|))T$ .

PROOF. By (4.2) in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we have

$$a_{i,0} = \|x_i - \hat{x}_0^m\| \leq \|x_i - u\| + \|\hat{x}_0^m - u\| \\ \leq \exp(2M_1 t_i) [\|x_0^n - u\| + \sum_{k=1}^i h_k \|p_k\| + t_i K_3] + \|\hat{x}_0^m - u\|.$$

Then  $a_{i,0}$  satisfies (4.9) for  $0 \leq i \leq N$ . Similarly  $a_{0,j}$  satisfies (4.9) for  $0 \leq j \leq \hat{N}$ .

Now assume that  $a_{i-1,j}$  and  $a_{i,j-1}$  satisfy (4.9) for induction. By (4.5) in Lemma 4.2, we have

$$(4.10) \quad (h_i + \hat{h}_j) a_{i,j} \leq \exp(2M_1 \min(h_i, \hat{h}_j)) [\hat{h}_j \exp(Mh_i) a_{i-1,j} + h_i \exp(M\hat{h}_j) a_{i,j-1} \\ + h_i \hat{h}_j (\|p_i\| + \|\hat{p}_j\| + M|t_{i-1} - \hat{t}_{j-1}| + K|t_i - \hat{t}_j|)] \\ \leq \exp(3M_1(t_i + \hat{t}_j)) H.$$

Here

$$(4.11) \quad H = (h_i + \hat{h}_j) (\|x_0^n - u\| + \|\hat{x}_0^m - u\|) \\ + K_3 (\hat{h}_j c_{i-1,j} + h_i c_{i,j-1}) \\ + 2M (\hat{h}_j t_{i-1} c_{i-1,j} + h_i t_i c_{i,j-1}) \\ + 2K (\hat{h}_j \hat{t}_j c_{i-1,j} + h_i \hat{t}_{j-1} c_{i,j-1}) \\ + [\hat{h}_j (\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} h_k \|p_k\| + \sum_{k=1}^j \hat{h}_k \|\hat{p}_k\|) + h_i (\sum_{k=1}^i h_k \|p_k\| + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \hat{h}_k \|\hat{p}_k\|) \\ + h_i \hat{h}_j (\|p_i\| + \|\hat{p}_j\|)] \\ + h_i \hat{h}_j M |t_{i-1} - \hat{t}_{j-1}| \\ + h_i \hat{h}_j K |t_i - \hat{t}_j|$$

where  $c_{i,j} = \{(t_i - \hat{t}_j)^2 + (t_i + \hat{t}_j)(|\mathcal{A}| + |\hat{\mathcal{A}}|)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$

We denote by  $P_q$  the  $q$ -th term ( $q = 1, 2 \dots 7$ ) of the right hand side of equality (4.11).

Using Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality (see Kobayashi [6]), we have

$$(4.12) \quad P_2 \leq K_3 (h_i + \hat{h}_j) c_{i,j}.$$

$$\text{Next } P_3 = 2Mt_i (\hat{h}_j c_{i-1,j} + h_i c_{i,j-1}) - 2M\hat{h}_i h_j c_{i-1,j}.$$

$$\text{Here } 2Mh_i \hat{h}_j c_{i-1,j} \geq Mh_i \hat{h}_j |t_{i-1} - \hat{t}_j| + Mh_i \hat{h}_j \hat{t}_j^{\frac{1}{2}} |\hat{\mathcal{A}}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \geq Mh_i \hat{h}_j |t_{i-1} - \hat{t}_j| + Mh_i \hat{h}_j^2 \\ \geq Mh_i \hat{h}_j (|t_{i-1} - \hat{t}_j| + \hat{h}_j) \\ \geq P_6,$$

therefore  $P_3 + P_6 \leq 2Mt_i (\hat{h}_j c_{i-1,j} + h_i c_{i,j-1})$ .

By the similar calculation to  $P_2$ , we have

$$(4.13) \quad P_3 + P_6 \leq 2 M t_i (h_i + \hat{h}_j) c_{i,j}.$$

Similarly we have

$$(4.14) \quad P_4 + P_7 \leq 2 K \hat{t}_j (h_i + \hat{h}_j) c_{i,j}.$$

Last, apparently

$$(4.15) \quad P_5 \leq (h_i + \hat{h}_j) \left( \sum_{k=1}^i h_k \|p_k\| + \sum_{k=1}^j \hat{h}_k \|\hat{p}_k\| \right).$$

Rearranging (4.11) – (4.15), Lemma 4.3 is proved.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let  $\{u_n(t)\}$  be a DS-approximate solution of (CP :  $x_0$ ) with  $x_0 \in \overline{D(0)}$ . Let  $\{u_p\} \subset D(0)$  be a sequence such that  $u_p \rightarrow x_0$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ . Then by Lemma 4.3, we have

$$(4.16) \quad \|x_i^n - x_j^m\| \leq \exp(3 M_1(t_i^n + t_j^m)) [\|x_0^n - u_p\| + \|x_0^m - u_p\| \\ + \{(t_i^n - t_j^m)^2 + (t_i^n + t_j^m)(|\Delta_n| + |\Delta_m|)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} (K_3 + 2 M t_i^n + 2 K t_j^m) + b_n + b_m]$$

for  $0 \leq i \leq N_n, 0 \leq j \leq N_m, p \geq 1$  and  $n, m \geq 1$  such that  $N_n |\Delta_n|, N_m |\Delta_m| \leq 1/2$ . Letting  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$  with  $t_i^n, t_j^m \rightarrow t$  in (4.16), we have

$$\limsup_{t_i^n, t_j^m \rightarrow t} \|x_i^n - x_j^m\| \leq 2 \exp(6 M_1 t) \|x_0 - u_p\| \text{ for } p \geq 1.$$

Since  $u_p \rightarrow x_0$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that there exists

$$(4.17) \quad u(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_i^n \text{ as } t_i^n \rightarrow t, n \rightarrow \infty, \\ = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(t) \text{ uniformly for } t \in [0, T].$$

Furthermore letting  $t_i^n \rightarrow t, t_j^m \rightarrow s, n, m \rightarrow \infty$  in (4.16), we have

$$(4.18) \quad \|u(t) - u(s)\| \leq \exp(3 M_1(t+s)) [2 \|x_0 - u_p\| + |s-t| (K_3 + 2 M t + 2 K s)]$$

for  $t, s \in [0, T]$  and  $p \geq 1$ . This shows that  $u(t)$  is continuous on  $[0, T]$ . At last, by assumption (A2) and (4.17),  $u(t) \in \overline{D(t)}$ .

### 5. Existence of DS-approximate solutions.

In this section, we shall give a sufficient condition to have DS-approximate solution of (CP :  $x_0$ ).

We consider following conditions on  $\{A(t)\}$  and  $f(t, x)$  :

$$(C) \quad \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} h^{-1} d[R(I - h(A(t+h) + f(t, x))), x] = 0 \text{ for any } t \in [0, T] \\ \text{and } x \in \overline{D(t)},$$

$$(A3) \quad \text{For each } t \in (0, \infty), [x, y] \in A(t) \text{ and } t_n \uparrow t \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ there exists} \\ [x_n, y_n] \in A(t_n) \text{ such that } [x_n, y_n] \rightarrow [x, y] \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then we have

**THEOREM 5.1.** Assume that conditions (A1) (A2) (A3) (B) and (C) are satisfied. Then for each  $T > 0, x_0 \in \overline{D(0)}$  and  $n$  (a natural number) there exists a DS-approximate solution

$u_n(t)$  with  $(M + \omega_0) \times |\Delta_n| \leq 1/2$ ,  $|\Delta_n| \leq 1/n$ , and  $\|p_i^n\| \leq 1/n$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ .

PROOF. We mimic the proof of Pavel [7, Theorem 5.1] (and so Kobayashi [6, Theorem 5.1]).

Let  $x_0 \in \overline{D(0)}$  and  $n$  such that  $2\omega_0/n \leq 1$ . Then for each  $x \in \overline{D(t)}$ , define  $\delta(x, y)$  as follows

$$(5.1) \quad \delta^n(x, y) = \sup \{ h; 0 < h \leq 1/n, \exists [x_h, y_h] \in A(t+h) : \|x_h - h(y_h + f(t, x)) - x\| < h/n \}.$$

By (C),  $\delta^n(x, t) > 0$  for any  $t \geq 0$  and  $x \in \overline{D(t)}$ . Choose  $x_0^n \in D(0)$  such that  $x_0^n \rightarrow x_0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Inductively, choose  $h_i^n \in [\delta^n(x^{n_{i-1}}, t^{n_{i-1}})/2, 1/n]$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $[x_i^n, t_i^n] \in A(t_{i-1}^n + h_i^n)$  with the properties

$$(5.2) \quad \|x_i^n - h_i^n(y_i^n + f(t_{i-1}^n, x^{n_{i-1}})) - x^{n_{i-1}}\| < h_i^n/n, x^{n_{i-1}} \in D(t_{i-1}^n), t_0^n = 0$$

Set

$$(5.3) \quad p_i^n = (h_i^n)^{-1} [x_i^n - x^{n_{i-1}} - h_i^n(y_i^n + f(t_{i-1}^n, x^{n_{i-1}}))], \quad t_i^n = t_{i-1}^n + h_i^n.$$

Then  $\|p_i^n\| \leq 1/n$  and (3.2) (3.3) are satisfied. We will prove

$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} t_i^n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_k^n = +\infty$ , so (3.4) holds for any  $T > 0$ . Assume by contradiction that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} t_i^n = \bar{t} < \infty.$$

We set  $a_{i,j} = \|x_i - x_j\|$  where write, for simplicity,  $x_i = x_i^n$ ,  $h_i = h_i^n$ ,  $t_i = t_i^n$  etc.

From (4.2) in the proof of Lemma 4.1 with  $u = x_0^n$ , we have

$$(5.4) \quad a_{i,0} \leq \exp(2\omega_0 + M)t_i \left[ \sum_{k=1}^i h_k \|p_k\| + t_i (\|f(0, x_0^n)\| + |A(0)x_0^n|) + \sum_{k=1}^i h_k t_{k-1} M + \sum_{k=1}^i h_k t_k L(\|x_0^n\|) \right].$$

Similar to (4.4) in Lemma 4.1, we prove that there exists a constant  $K_1 = K_1(x_0^n, \bar{t}) > 0$ ,

such that  $\|x_i\| \leq K_1$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ .

Therefore, there exists  $K = K(x_0^n, \bar{t}) > 0$  such that  $L(\|x_i\|) \leq K$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots$ .

Now we claim that for  $i \geq j \geq k \geq 1$ ,

$$(5.5) \quad a_{i,j} \leq \exp(3(\omega_0 + M)(t_i + t_j - 2t_k)) [(t_i - t_j)(|A(t_k)x_k| + \|f(t_k, x_k)\|) + \sum_{s=k+1}^i h_s \|p_s\| + \sum_{s=k+1}^j h_s \|p_s\| + M \sum_{s=j+1}^i h_s (t_{s-1} - t_k) + K \sum_{s=j+1}^i h_s (t_s - t_k)].$$

Similar to (5.4), we have

$$a_{i,k} \leq \exp((2\omega_0 + M)(t_i - t_k)) \left[ \sum_{s=k+1}^i h_s \|p_s\| + (t_i - t_k)(|A(t_k)x_k| + \|f(t_k, x_k)\|) + M \sum_{s=k+1}^i h_s (t_{s-1} - t_k) + K \sum_{s=k+1}^i h_s (t_s - t_k) \right],$$

then  $a_{i,k}$  satisfies (5.5) and clearly  $a_{i,i}$  also satisfies (5.5).

Assume for induction that  $a_{i-1, j}$  and  $a_{i, j-1}$  satisfy (5.5) with  $i > j > k$ . Similar to Lemma 4.2, we can prove

$$(5.6) \quad (h_i + h_j) a_{i, j} \leq \exp(2(M + \omega_0) \min(h_i, h_j)) [h_j \exp(M h_i) a_{i-1, j} + h_j \exp(M h_j) a_{i, j-1} + h_i h_j (\|p_i\| + \|p_j\| + M(t_{i-1} - t_{j-1}) + K(t_i - t_j)).$$

Hence, by the inductive assumption, (5.6) implies

$$(5.7) \quad (h_i + h_j) a_{i, j} \leq \exp(3(M + \omega_0)(t_i + t_j - 2t_k)) H$$

where  $H = [h_j(t_{i-1} - t_j) + h_i(t_i - t_{j-1})] (|A(t_k)x_k| + \|f(t_k, x_k)\|)$

$$+ [h_j(\sum_{s=k+1}^{i-1} h_s \|p_s\| + \sum_{s=k+1}^j h_s \|p_s\|) + h_i(\sum_{s=k+1}^i h_s \|p_s\| + \sum_{s=k+1}^{j-1} h_s \|p_s\|) + h_i h_j (\|p_i\| + \|p_j\|)]$$

$$+ M [h_j \sum_{s=j+1}^{i-1} h_s (t_{s-1} - t_k) + h_i \sum_{s=j}^i h_s (t_{s-1} - t_k) + h_i h_j (t_{i-1} - t_{j-1})]$$

$$+ M [h_j \sum_{s=j+1}^{i-1} h_s (t_s - t_k) + h_i \sum_{s=j}^i h_s (t_s - t_k) + h_i h_j (t_i - t_j)].$$

By easy calculatins, we have

$$H = (h_i + h_j)(t_i - t_j) (|A(t_k)x_k| + \|f(t_k, x_k)\|)$$

$$+ (h_i + h_j) (\sum_{s=k+1}^i h_s \|p_s\| + \sum_{s=k+1}^j h_s \|p_s\|)$$

$$+ (h_i + h_j) M \sum_{s=j+1}^i h_s (t_{s-1} - t_k)$$

$$+ (h_i + h_j) K \sum_{s=j+1}^i h_s (t_s - t_k)$$

Hence, (5.5) is proved. By  $\|p_i\| \leq 1/n, t_i \leq \bar{t}$ , it follows

$$\sum_{s=k+1}^i h_s \|p_s\| \leq (t_i - t_k)/n \leq \bar{t} - t_k, \quad M \sum_{s=k+1}^i h_s (t_{s-1} - t_k) \leq M(\bar{t} - t_k)^2 \quad \text{a.s.o.}$$

Then from (5.5), we have

$$(5.8) \quad \limsup_{i, j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_i - x_j\| \leq \exp(6(\omega_0 + M)(\bar{t} - t_k)) (\bar{t} - t_k) [2 + (M + K)(\bar{t} - t_k)]$$

for  $k \geq 1$ .

As  $k \rightarrow \infty, t_k \rightarrow \bar{t}$ , then we see that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = \bar{x}$  exists. Since  $x_i \in D(t_i)$ , by (A2), it follows

$\bar{x} \in \overline{D(\bar{t})}$ . By (C), there exists  $h^* \in (0, 1/n)$  and  $[x_{h^*}, y_{h^*}] \in A(\bar{t} + h^*)$ , such that

$$(5.9) \quad \|x_{h^*} - h^*(y_{h^*} + f(\bar{t}, \bar{x})) - \bar{x}\| < h^*/2n.$$

On the other hand,  $h_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , therefore  $\delta^n(t_i, x_i) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , then

$$\delta^n(t_i, x_i) < h^* \quad \text{for all } i \geq i_0(h^*).$$

By the definition of  $\delta^n(t_i, x_i)$ , it follows

$$(5.10) \quad \|\tilde{x}_i - h^*(\tilde{y}_i + f(t_i, x_i)) - x_i\| \geq h^*/n$$

for all  $[\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{y}_i] \in A(t_i + h^*), i \geq i_0(h^*)$ .

Since  $t_i + h^* \uparrow \bar{t} + h^*$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , by (A3) there exists  $[\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{y}_i] \in A(t_i + h^*)$

such that  $\tilde{x}_i \rightarrow x_{h^*}, \tilde{y}_i \rightarrow y_{h^*}$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Letting  $i \rightarrow \infty$  in (5.10) we have



$\|x_{h^*} - h^*(y_{h^*} + f(\bar{t}, \bar{x})) - \bar{x}\| \geq h^*/n$  which contradicts (5.9).

Thus we proved that  $t_i \rightarrow \infty$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence Theorem 5.1 is proved.

#### References

- [ 1 ] M. Crandall and T. Liggett, Generation of semigroup of nonlinear transformations in general Banach spaces, *Ame. J. Math.*, **93** (1970), 265–298.
- [ 2 ] M. Crandall and A. Pazy, Nonlinear evolution equations in Banach spaces, *Israel J. Math.*, **11** (1972), 57–94.
- [ 3 ] L.C. Evans, Nonlinear evolution equations in an arbitrary Banach space, *Israel J. Math.*, **26** (1977), 1–42.
- [ 4 ] T. Iwamiya, S. Oharu and T. Takahashi, On the class of nonlinear evolution operators in Banach space, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **10** (1986), 315–337.
- [ 5 ] N. Kenmochi and S. Oharu, Difference approximation of nonlinear evolution equations and semigroups of nonlinear operators, *Publ. RIMS. Kyoto Uni.*, **10** (1974), 147–207.
- [ 6 ] Y. Kobayashi, Difference approximation of Cauchy problems for quasi-dissipative operators and generation of nonlinear semigroups, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **27** (1975), 640–665.
- [ 7 ] N. H. Pavel, Nonlinear evolution equations governed f-quasi-dissipative operators, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **5** (1981), 449–468.

(Received January 20, 1991)